

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,146	11/24/2003	John Golle	1748.009US1	2226
21186 7	590 11/18/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH			HUSAR, STEPHEN F	
1600 TCF TOV	VER IGHT STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IS, MN 55402		2875	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1.20
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- Por
	10/707,146	GOLLE ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Stephen F. Husar	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REAL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH attute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  by be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this committed  IS U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			٠.
4)  Claim(s) 11-15 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 11-15 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Exam	drawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the p  application from the International Burn  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least open companion.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National St	age
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Neierletes Cited (PTO-652)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date  primal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,146 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of species requirement in the reply filed on 8/29/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species 5- Figure 9 in the reply filed on 8/29/05 is acknowledged.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/707,146 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claims 11,12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CHIEN (5570946). Chien shows in Fig.3 a safety hat, a safety element "74" and EL lighting element "7" that illuminates the safety element stripes "74" (see also the discussion in col.6, lines 58-67 concerning the use of safety signals). Re claim 12, note that Chien's safety stripes are recognized as traffic caution and safety symbols. Re claim 14, note in Fig.3 of Chien the hard outer layer "1" of the safety hat.
- 6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by RICHARDSON (5564128). Note that the method of claim 1 is inherently practiced by the wearer of the firefighter's helmet shown in Fig.2 of Richardson. See also the discussion of the EL safety symbol "16" in col.3, lines 35-46.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,146 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHIEN (5570946) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of

Art Unit: 2875

CHIEN (5479325). Chien (5570946) shows the invention substantially as claimed except for the hat main body comprising a flexible material. Chien (5479325) shows that it is known in the art to use the EL lighting of safety hats on both rigid and flexible hat bodies as shown in Fig.1 (rigid) and Fig.2 (flexible). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute a flexible material for Chien (5570946) rigid material as taught by Chien (5479325) for the purpose and advantage of using EL safety lighting on both rigid and flexible hat bodies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Husar whose telephone number is 571-272-2371. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W and F from 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,146

Art Unit: 2875

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen F. Husar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875

SFH